Wall pressure fluctuations of turbulent separated and reattaching flow with local forcing
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ABSTRACT

Assessment of the organized turbulent separated and reattaching flow by local forcing was made by measuring wall pressure fluctuations. Multi-arrayed microphones as shown in Fig.1 were installed on the surface to measure the simultaneous spatial and temporal wall pressure fluctuations. The local forcing at the separation edge was given to the separated flow over a backward-facing step through a thin slit. The separated and reattaching flow was found to be most organized at the effective forcing frequency. The organized flow structure by local forcing was diagnosed by analyzing the information of wall pressure fluctuations. Several characteristics of wall pressure fluctuations were obtained: wall pressure fluctuation coefficients, wall pressure spectrum, wavenumber-frequency spectrum, coherence, cross-correlation, and multi-resolution autocorrelations of pressure fluctuations using the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT) and continuous wavelet transform (CWT). The amalgamation processes of vortices were observed, which gave the maximum reduction of the reattachment length. The enhancements of the flapping motion and streamwise dispersion of vortical structures were examined by the wall pressure fluctuations.

Fig. 1. Experimental setup of backward-facing step with a speaker and arrangement of multi-arrayed microphones
1. INTRODUCTION

A large number of attempts have been made to control turbulent separated and reattaching flows. Among others, the local forcing at the separation edge received much attention (Miau et al. 1991; Sigurdson 1995; Chun and Sung 1996; Kiya et al. 1997; Chun and Sung 1998; Yoshioka et al. 2001). The turbulent separated and reattaching flow over a backward-facing step was most organized by giving local forcing at the effective forcing frequency (Chun and Sung 1996). To assess the organized flow, extensive measurements were made, e.g., mean and turbulent velocity quantities, reattachment length and velocity spectra. Much time is needed to measure the whole flow structure. Alternatively, measurement of wall pressure fluctuations on the surface is a simple and efficient way to assess the organization of flow structures. This is because wall pressure fluctuations are closely related with vortical flow structures above the wall. Furthermore, wall pressure fluctuations are footprints of the convecting vortices over the wall (Kim et al. 2002).

A literature survey reveals that many studies of wall pressure fluctuations have been made to portray the unsteady behaviors of separated and reattaching flows, e.g., flapping of reattaching shear layer and shedding of large-scale vortical structures. Kiya and Sasaki (1983) observed large-scale vortical structure in the reattaching zone using the cross-correlations between wall pressure fluctuations and velocity fluctuations. The large-scale vortical structure was educed by a conditional signal of wall pressure fluctuations (Kiya and Sasaki 1985). Farabee and Casella (1986) used one microphone to measure wall pressure spectrum of separated flows. However, multi-arrayed microphones were used to measure time-mean statistics (Lee and Sung 2001) and spatio-temporal characteristics (Lee and Sung 2002; Hudy et al. 2003). Recently, the interaction of unsteady wake and turbulent separated and reattaching flow over backward-facing step was examined using synchronized measurement of streamwise and spanwise wall pressure fluctuations (Chun et al. 2003). The conditional sampling in spatial domain with a spatial box filtering of wall pressure fluctuations was adopted to extract large-scale vortical structure under the influence of periodic wake (Lee and Sung 2002).

The main objective of the present study is to assess the flow organization by measuring wall pressure fluctuations. The separated and reattaching flow with local forcing was chosen in the present study (Chun and Sung 1996). This is because the turbulent separated and reattaching is well organized by imposing local forcing at the effective forcing frequency. Multi-arrayed microphones were installed in the bottom wall surface of the flow over a backward-facing step. The flow forcing condition was the same as that of Chun and Sung (1996). Synchronized measurements of wall pressure fluctuations in the streamwise direction were performed using multi-arrayed microphones. The wall pressure fluctuations with and without local forcing were compared. The flow organization was examined in terms of wall pressure spectrum, pressure fluctuation coefficients, auto- and cross-correlation, wavenumber-frequency spectrum. The temporal and spatial characteristics of vortical structures were analyzed using the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT) and continuous wavelet transform (CWT). At the effective forcing frequency, the promoted amalgamations of spanwise vortices were observed, which gave the maximum reduction of reattachment length.

2. EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS and PROCEDURE

Experiments were performed in a subsonic open-circuit wind tunnel. Details regarding the experimental apparatus and the acoustic local forcing can be found in Chun and Sung (1996) and Lee and Sung (2002). Special attention was given to removing the tunnel floor vibration, which was transmitted from the forcing chamber with the installation of a woofer speaker. The characteristic length of the backward-facing step was defined as the step height \( H = 50 \text{mm} \). The aspect ratio \( AR \) based on \( H \) was 12.5 to satisfy the two-dimensionality of the flow (Brederode and Bradshaw 1978). In the present study, the free-stream flow speed used was 10 m/s, resulting in a Reynolds number of 33,000 based on the step height \( H \).

16 ICP-type microphones (TMS060A, Soritel Inc., Korea) were used in the present study and installed on the bottom surface of the expanded duct as shown in Fig.1. The microphones were installed at uniform interval of 0.5\( H \) (1.25 ≤ \( x/H \) ≤ 8.75). Synchronized measurements of wall pressure fluctuations with 16 microphones in the streamwise direction were carried out. To increase the spatial resolution and frequency resolution of each microphone, a pinhole of diameter 1 mm and an installation cavity of diameter 8 mm were drilled concentrically on the bottom plate (Chun et al. 2003). A 16-channel differential amplifier (PCB 513, The Modal Shop Inc.) was used to provide excitation power for the microphone, as well as the amplification of the fluctuating voltage signals. Each microphone was calibrated against a 0.5 inch B&K 4133 microphone. To rule out the data scatter associated with the uncertainty of the microphone calibration procedure (Hudy et al. 2002), the wall pressure fluctuation coefficients and wall pressure spectrum along the streamwise direction were obtained by sequential measurements using one microphone. Simultaneous acquisition of wall pressure fluctuation signals from the 16 microphones was made using LabVIEW software and a 64-channel A/D board (NI6110,
National Instruments Inc.). 409,600 time series data were acquired for each microphone with the sampling frequency of 7812.5Hz.

The preliminary velocity measurements were completed using a constant-temperature anemometer (IFA 300). The forcing amplitude was calibrated using a single-wire probe (TSI 1260) with 5 μm tungsten wire. The sampling frequency was fixed at 5 kHz. A split-film probe (TSI 1288) was used to measure the reattachment length \( x_R \) defined as the point where the forward-flow time fraction \( \gamma_p \) in the vicinity of the wall \( (y/H=0.01) \) is equal to \( \gamma_p = 0.5 \). To resolve the time-mean reattachment length, the sampling frequency was fixed at 200Hz, and a total of 60,000 velocity data was obtained, indicating around 1,500 flapping motions \( (St=0.025) \) were encompassed in measurement.

In the context of the present study, care was exercised to clarify the spatio-temporal characteristics of wall pressure fluctuations. Toward this end, three forcing frequencies, \( St_f = 0, 0.275 \) and 1.5, were chosen for comparison. As shown in Fig.2, the reattachment length of the separated and reattaching flow was reduced from \( x_R/H=7.75 \) at \( St_f=0 \) to \( x_R/H=5.65 \) at \( St_f=0.275 \), and increased to \( x_R/H=8.02 \) at \( St_f=1.5 \). These results were consistent with that of Chun and Sung (1996). The forcing amplitude \( A_0 \) in Chun and Sung (1996) was defined as the momentum change between the unforced flow and the forced flow in the initial boundary layer. However, this definition is not applicable to the numerical simulation. A simple definition \( \nu_{rms}/U_\omega \) is better for the numerical simulation, where \( \nu_{rms} \) is the root-mean-square of total velocity fluctuating at the slit edge \( (x/H=0.01, y/H=0) \). It is found that the previous forcing amplitude \( A_0=0.03 \) corresponds to \( \nu_{rms}/U_\omega = 0.6 \). The analysis of wall pressure fluctuations in time, frequency and wavenumber domains was carried out in terms of wall pressure fluctuation coefficients, autospectrum, coherence, wavenumber-frequency spectrum, and both the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT) (Percival and Walden 2000) and continuous wavelet transform (CWT).

![Fig.2 Reattachment variation dependent on forcing frequency at Reₜₜ=33,000](image)

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When the present local forcing experiment was performed, a severe speaker sound at higher forcing frequency was generated. This may give an influence on the microphones installed on the surface as a background noise. Prior to the main experiments of local forcing, influence of the background noise should be examined. Toward this end, the jet slit was blocked and no flow excitation was given through the thin slit. The wall pressure spectrum at \( x/H=7.75 \) was measured for three forcing frequencies \( St_f=0, 0.275 \) and 1.5 in Fig.3. Closer inspection of Fig.3 shows that very slight difference is detected at the lower frequency region \( (St \leq 0.2) \). No significant effects of the background noise by speaker were shown for three forcing cases, although distinctive peaks were found at the forcing frequencies and their harmonics. As expected, the shedding of large-scale vortical structure and the flapping of reattaching shear layer were observed in the lower frequency region. This suggests that the influence of the background noise on the two main unsteady behaviors was negligible.
To clarify the global characteristics of separated and reattaching shear layer, streamwise distributions of the rms pressure fluctuations $C_{p'}$ normalized by the inflow dynamic pressure $q$ were displayed in Fig.4 (Lee and Sung 2001, 2002; Chun et al. 2003). For no forcing case ($St_f=0$), $C_{p'}$ increases along the streamwise direction in the range $0 \leq x/H \leq 7.25$, and then decreases ($x/H \geq 7.25$). The maximum $C_{p'}$ occurs slightly upstream of the time-mean reattachment point, which is in conformity with previous results of Lee and Sung (2001). When the forcing is applied at $St_f=0.275$, the position of the maximum $C_{p'}$ moves upstream by $2.5H$. It is found that the $C_{p'}$ distribution at $St_f=1.5$ is almost similar to that of $St_f=0$. The correlation between $x_R$ and $C_{p'}$ indicates that $x_R$ can be predicted by $C_{p'}$, without direct measurement of $x_R$.

The influence of local forcing on the spatial development of vortical structure is obtained by measuring the wall pressure spectrum along the streamwise direction. Four positions are chosen in Fig.5: $x/H=1.25, 4.25, 5.75$ and 7.75. Near the
separation edge at \(x/H=1.25\), three characteristic frequencies are detected in Fig.5 (a), i.e., \(St=0.02\), 0.07, and 0.13. The flapping frequency is present at \(St=0.02\), where the normalized frequency \(fx/U_c=0.16\) coincides with the value of Mabey (1972). The shedding frequency is at \(St=0.07\), which is close to \(St=0.068\) in Eaton (1980) and \(St=0.067\) in Lee and Sung (2002). The merging frequency is detected at \(St=0.13\), which is around twice the shedding frequency of large-scale vortical structure. When the local forcing is made at \(St=0.275\), the flapping is significantly enhanced. It is found that the forcing at \(St=1.5\) is not effective on wall pressure fluctuations. For both forcing cases (\(St=0.275\) and 1.5), the higher frequency region is contaminated by the forcing frequencies and their harmonics. At \(x/H=4.25\) shown in Fig.5 (b), a large upward shift of the spectrum is shown at \(St=0.275\) and a slight downward shift is observed at \(St=1.5\). The strengths of the flapping, shedding and merging frequencies are significantly enhanced due to the local forcing (\(St=0.275\)).

Further comparison of the spatial strength variation of the vortical structure at the aforementioned shedding and merging frequencies is made for three forcing cases. Two prominent frequencies are chosen, \(St=0.07\) and \(St=0.13\); one is the shedding frequency and the other is the merging frequency. The wall pressure spectra at two fixed frequencies are replotted as a function of \(St\) in Fig.6. The spectrum of \(St=0.13\) at \(St=0.275\) rapidly increases near the separation edge until the maximum value is attained near \(x/H=4.25\) and then quickly decays in the downstream direction. This indicates a fast process of amalgamation of spanwise vortices. Recall that \(C_p\) near the separation edge rapidly increases at \(St=0.275\). This is attributed to the dominance of the energetic shedding and merging motions. For \(St=0.0\) and \(St=1.5\), the strengths of \(St=0.13\) slowly increase until the maximum is attained near \(x/H=5.75\), and the flat plateaus centered at \(x/H=7.75\) are formed. As mentioned earlier, the trend of wall pressure fluctuations of \(St=0\) is almost similar to that of \(St=1.5\), although the effect of \(St=0.275\) is apparent. Accordingly, two forcing cases are chosen at \(St=0\) and \(St=0.275\) for further discussion.
In order to assess the flow structure organized by local forcing, we now consider the wall pressure fluctuations in terms of wavenumber-frequency spectra. The streamwise wavenumber-frequency spectrum $\Phi(x, f; x_0)$ is obtained by Fourier transforming the streamwise cross spectrum $\Phi_{pp}(k_x, f; x_0)$ with respect to $x$. The spectra at the fixed frequencies $St=0.02, 0.07$ and $1.3$ are illustrated in Fig. 7 for comparison. The streamwise wavenumber-frequency spectrum exhibits two distinctive convective ridges near the separation edge ($x/H=1.25$), in good agreement with previous reports (Lee and Sung 2002; Hudy et al. 2003). These ridges, one slanted and the other horizontal along $k_x=0$, are signatures of shedding of the large-scale vortex and of flapping of the reattaching shear layer, respectively. From the slanted ridge, the convection velocity of the large-scale vortex was calculated as $U_c/U_\infty=0.56$. No clear difference is shown near the separation edge when the local forcing is introduced at $St_f=0.275$. However, when the reference point moves to $x/H=4.25$, the local forcing at $St_f=0.275$ globally increases the strength of the slanted ridge, and induces a discrete bubble-like area at $St_f=0.13$. This shows a strong amalgamation of the spanwise vortices. The frequency content of the convective ridge is enlarged up to high frequencies by local forcing. The dispersion of large-strength area along $St_f=0.07$ indicates an expansion of the influenced area by the large-scale vortical structures. Such dispersion of the vortical structure due to the local forcing is clear until $x/H=5.75$, but the extension of frequency contents of the convection ridge due to the local forcing is not attenuated even at $x/H=7.75$. However, no large difference of the dispersion along $St_f=0.07$ is demonstrated at $x/H=7.75$ for both forcing cases. For the shedding vortical structure at $St_f=0.07$, the strength at $St_f=0.275$ and $x/H=5.75$ is even weaker than strength at $St_f=0$ and $x/H=7.75$. This is consistent with the wall pressure spectrum in Figs. 5 and 6.
Intermittent spatio-temporal behaviors of the amalgamation of spanwise vortices are scrutinized using the time-dependent analysis of these vortical structures. Using the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT), the multi-resolution autocorrelation of wall pressure fluctuations at $x/H=4.25$ is demonstrated in Fig. 8. The multi-resolution autocorrelation is defined as

$$ p_{pp}(x_0, \Delta t, f) = \langle p'_f(x_0, t) p'_f(x_0, t + \Delta t) \rangle / \langle p'_f(x_0, t)p'_f(x_0, t) \rangle, $$

where $p'_f$ is reconstructed by inverse MODWT of the original pressure signals $p'$ at the central frequency $f$. The direct autocorrelations of wall pressure fluctuations are displayed in Fig. 8 for comparison. The direct autocorrelation is defined as

$$ p_{pp}(x_0, \Delta t) = \langle p'(x_0, t)p'(x_0, t + \Delta t) \rangle / \langle p'(x_0, t)p'(x_0, t) \rangle. $$

Three unsteady behaviors are denoted using the dashed lines at $St=0$; flapping motion of the shear layer, shedding of large-scale vortical structures, and amalgamation of spanwise vortices. Close inspection of Fig. 8 (a) reveals that another two merging processes exist at higher frequencies at $St=0.26$ and 0.52, which are harmonics of $St=0.13$. The amalgamation of spanwise vortices at $St=0.13$ is out-of-phase with the shedding of large-scale vortical structure at $St=0.07$. Another prominent vortical structure as denoted by the arrow at $St=0.04$ is out-of-phase with both the flapping motion of reattaching shear layer and the shedding of large-scale vortical structure. This may be a signature of the shedding of vortice, which is much larger than the regular vortices ($St=0.07$) near the reattaching point (Kiya and Sasaki 1983). When the local forcing is introduced at $St=0.275$, very clear ‘shutter-like’ distributions are shown at $St=0.275$ in Fig. 8 (b). This forcing frequency overrides the first harmonic of $St=0.13$. As mentioned earlier, significant enhancement of the flapping motion is observed in Fig. 5. In addition, a very large vortex at $St=0.04$ is enhanced, which results in the
reduction of \( x_g \) but attenuates the successive shedding of regular vortice at \( St=0.07 \). The peaks of the direct autocorrelation curve at \( St=0 \) corresponds well to the shedding of large-scale vortical structures. However, these peaks are flattened at \( St=0.275 \) due to the aforementioned complex phase relations of the enhanced flapping motion at \( St=0.02 \) and the shedding of vortical structures at both \( St=0.04 \) and \( St=0.07 \).

**Fig. 8** Auto-correlation of MODWT transformed wall pressure fluctuations at \( x/H=4.25 \)
(a) \( St=0 \) and (b) \( St=0.275 \)

**Fig. 9** Streamwise cross-correlation of MOWDT transformed wall pressure fluctuations at \( x/H=4.25 \)
(a) \( St=0 \) and (b) \( St=0.275 \)
By transforming the synchronized streamwise wall pressure fluctuations using the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT), the vortical structures centered at \( St=0.07 \) and \( St=0.13 \) are exemplified by cross-correlation of the extracted pressure signals. The cross-correlation is defined as

\[
\rho_{pp}(\xi, \Delta t; x_0) = \langle p'_f(x_0, z_0, t) p'_f(x_0 + \xi, t + \Delta t) \rangle / \langle p'_f(x_0, t) p'_f(x_0, t) \rangle.
\]

(3)

As shown in Fig. 9, the large strength regions denoted by the dashed lines are extended downstream by local forcing. This is consistent with the enhanced dispersion of shedding and the amalgamation of spanwise vortices mentioned in Fig. 7. Moreover, the convection velocities of both the regular large-scale vortical structure and the amalgamation of spanwise vortices are slightly decreased by the local forcing.

As discussed above, discrete wavelet transformation of the wall pressure fluctuations shows the intermittent scale-resolved vortical structures. However, the intermittent behaviors between \( St=0.13 \) and \( St=0.275 \) are not clearly detected due to the insufficient frequency resolution of discrete wavelet transformation, in which the central frequencies for each

Fig. 10 Auto-correlation of CWT coefficients of wall-pressure fluctuations at \( Re_H=33,000 \)

(a) \( St=0 \) and (b) \( St=0.275 \)
scale is approximated by half-band filters (Percival and Walden 2000). Toward this end, continuous wavelet transformation (CWT) of the wall pressure fluctuations is employed to extract the vortical structures at high frequency resolution. The continuous wavelet transform coefficient of a time-series data can be defined as

\[ w(b, a) = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(t) \Psi(\frac{t-b}{a}) dt, \quad (4) \]

where \( a \) is the timescale dilation parameter and \( b \) is the time transition parameter. The relation between \( a \) and \( f \) is denoted by the conversion formula \( f = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a^2}} \). The Mexican hat wavelet \( \Psi(t) = (1-t^2) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2) \) is used, which is known to be effective in resolving high amplitude peaks (Addision 1999; Poggie and Smits 1997). To clarify the intermittent vortical structures with high resolution, an analysis using the autocorrelation of CWT coefficients is then performed. The wavelet auto-correlation function \( wc(a, \tau) \) is defined as

\[ wc(a, \tau) = \left< w(b, a)w(b + \tau, a) \right> / \left< w(b, a)w(b, a) \right>, \quad (5) \]

where \( \tau \) is the time delay of wavelet coefficients in the wavelet space. Figure 10 shows the auto-correlation of CWT coefficients at \( St_f=0 \) and \( St_f=0.275 \). The main attention is given to the interaction between the amalgamation of spanwise vortices and the forcing frequency. Without forcing, the large strength areas denoted by arrows are observed at \( x/H=5.75 \), indicating the quasi-deterministic appearance of the amalgamation of spanwise vortices. However, these are not clear at the upstream positions (\( x/H=1.25, 4.25 \)). For \( St_f=0.275 \), similar structures which are induced by two neighboring forcings at \( St_f=0.275 \) are clearly exemplified at \( x/H=4.25 \). The signatures of the strong amalgamations are still clear near the separation edge (\( x/H=1.25 \)) and at the downstream positions (\( x/H=5.75, 7.75 \)).

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, measurements of wall pressure fluctuations were demonstrated to be an efficient method to delineate the organization of turbulent separated and reattaching flows. Measurements of wall pressure fluctuations using multi-arrayed microphones, not direct measurements of flow structure, were carried out to compare the flow structures for three forcing cases: \( St_f=0, 0.275 \) and 1.5. The influence of local forcing was systematically analyzed in terms of wall pressure fluctuation coefficients, wall pressure spectrum, cross-correlation, wavenumber-frequency spectrum and wavelet transforms. At \( St_f=0.275 \), the large upstream shift of wall pressure fluctuation coefficient was indicative of the reduction of the reattachment length. By local forcing, the streamwise dispersion of the vortical structures at \( St_f=0.07 \) (the shedding of large-scale vortical structures) and \( St_f=0.13 \) (the amalgamation of spanwise vortices) was demonstrated to be increased. The amalgamation of spanwise vortices at \( St_f=0.275 \) was clarified by wall pressure spectrum, multi-resolution auto-correlation of wall pressure fluctuations using the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT) and continuous wavelet transform (CWT). The intermittent amalgamation of spanwise vortices at \( St_f=0.13 \) gave the reduction of the reattachment length. The additional enhancement of flapping motion by local forcing was exemplified by showing the maximum overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT).
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