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ABSTRACT

Global Rainbow Thermometry is presented. It is a new technique for measuring average size and temperature of spray droplets. For data inversion, a global rainbow pattern is employed, which is formed by constructive interference of laser-light scattering spherical droplets. The non-spherical droplets and liquid ligaments provide a uniform background and thus do not influence the interference pattern from which average size and temperature is derived. This is a large improvement with respect to standard rainbow thermometry, investigated since 1988, which is strongly influenced by particle shape. Moreover, the technique is applicable for smaller droplets than the standard technique because the global pattern is not spoiled by a ripple structure. Data inversion schemes based on inflection points, minima and maxima are discussed with respect to spray dispersion and droplet flux. The temperature derivation from inflection points appears to be independent of spray dispersion. Preliminary measurements in a water spray are reported. The mean diameter obtained from the rainbow pattern is smaller than the arithmetic mean diameter measured by phase-Doppler Anemometry. The accuracy of the temperature measurement by global rainbow thermometry is shown to be a few degrees Celsius.

Fig. 1 Outline of the optical configuration for global rainbow thermometry. The global rainbow pattern is projected on the transparent screen that is filmed from the other side. Fig. 2 A typical global rainbow pattern in a water spray recorded by a video camera.
1. STANDARD RAINBOW THERMOMETRY

Since several years, experimental results on standard rainbow thermometry have been reported by Roth et al. (1988, 1991), Sankar et al. (1993) and Van Beeck and Riethmüller (1994, 1995, 1996). Rainbow thermometry has already been applied with success to fuel combustion research by Sankar et al. (1996).

**Fig. 3** Sketch of the set-up of standard rainbow thermometry. Remark the spatial filter that selects a probe volume containing a single droplet at a time.

Figure 3 sketches the optical layout. A laser, polarized perpendicularly to the scattering plane, illuminates a spray. The combination of a lens and a pinhole makes a spatial filter, selecting a probe volume through which one droplet passes at a time. A second lens projects the scattered light of this single particle on a CCD camera such that each pixel corresponds to a tiny range of scattering angles. In this way the primary rainbow from a single particle is recorded. This “rainbow” is of course monochromatic due to the use of a laser.

Figure 4 shows a typical rainbow pattern recorded by the set-up depicted in Figure 3. One can distinguish a low and a high-frequency interference structure, the Airy fringes (supernumerary bows) and the ripple structure, respectively. They are formed by optical interference between external and internal reflections of the laser beam by the droplet surface. Integration along the curvature of the fringes increases the signal to noise ratio (Giuliani et al. 1998), as can be seen in Figure 5.

From Figures 6 and 7, one can understand the reasoning for the data inversion scheme used for standard rainbow thermometry. Figure 6 depicts the dependency of the angular positions of the first five Airy fringes, θ₁ to θ₅, on the droplet temperature for constant diameter. For water, the rainbow pattern moves about 2.3° when the temperature changes 100°C. Remark that fringe spacing is independent of temperature. Subsequently, one can employ this spacing to obtain the droplet diameter without knowing the droplet temperature a priori. Figure 7 shows that the angular positions of the Airy fringes are indeed a function of diameter. For the determination of temperature and diameter, only the scattering angles for the first two maxima, θ₁ and θ₂, are employed. The rainbow angle, θ_rg, derived from geometrical optics, is independent of the droplet dimension. Consequently, this angle is an indicator for the droplet temperature and thus and important parameter for the study on the rainbow technique.

To determine the extrema of the Airy fringes, one has to filter out the ripple structure (Fig.5). This interference structure gains importance for smaller droplets and thus influences the angular position of the Airy fringes, which renders the determination of the droplet temperature less accurate (Van Beeck 1997). For fuel droplets smaller than 30 µm, the accuracy of the temperature measurement by standard rainbow thermometry can therefore not be done better than about ±6°C (Massoli 1998). In section 3, it will be shown that this problem does not exist for global rainbow thermometry.
Fig. 4 A typical rainbow pattern coming from a single droplet in a water spray, recorded using the set-up of Fig 3. The horizontal axis is proportional to the scattering angle.

Fig. 5 The vertically-integrated profile of Fig. 4. The low-frequency pattern designates the supernumerary bows (Airy fringes) on top of which a ripple structure is superimposed.

Fig. 6 Angular dependence of the first five Airy fringes on the droplet temperature for a fixed droplet diameter. Remark that fringe spacing is independent of droplet temperature. The Airy theory for the rainbow is utilized (Van Beeck 1997)

Fig. 7 Angular dependence of the first five Airy fringes as a function of droplet diameter for constant temperature.
2. DROPLET-SHAPE EFFECT ON ACCURACY OF STANDARD RAINBOW THERMOMETRY

There are several factors that can influence the accuracy of the rainbow technique. In the previous section the ripple structure was mentioned to spoil the temperature measurement for small droplets. Furthermore, temperature gradients inside the droplet also affect the temperature measurement (Anders et al. 1995, Corbin 1996 and Massoli 1998). However, the main source of measurement uncertainty for standard rainbow thermometry occurs for droplets that are non-spherical (Van Beeck and Riethmuller 1995, 1996, 1997). Take a look at Figure 8 to have an idea of the problem. It shows an interaction between the primary and secondary rainbow formed by a non-spherical droplet. It is clear that the position of the rainbow depends not only on the droplet temperature but also on its shape. This induces an ambiguity in the temperature measurement.

From figures 9 and 10 one can estimate the influence of droplet shape on the geometrical rainbow angle $\theta_{rg}$ (Moebius 1910). Moebius computed $\theta_{rg}$ for an ellipsoid with respect to that of a sphere, for as long as the incident wave vector lies in the plane formed by two principal axes. The result is shown in Figure 10 as a function of the incidence angle $\Psi$ and for different axis-ratios $B/C$. Close examination reveals that for a droplet non-sphericity of 1%, the rainbow pattern can change about $\Delta \Psi$. If one would be unaware of this shape-distortion, one can introduce a temperature error of 40°C for water and about 20°C for fuel. During the passed years, several algorithms for shape detection were proposed but neither of them could establish high confidence in the temperature measurement (Van Beeck and Riethmuller 1996, Sankar et al. 1996). This means that an error of +/-40°C or more is common for standard rainbow thermometry. Global rainbow thermometry solves this problem.
3. PRINCIPLE OF GLOBAL RAINBOW THERMOMETRY

Global rainbow thermometry primarily aims at illuminating the non-sphericity effect that exists for the standard rainbow technique since its invention in 1988. The basic principles have been published by the authors in 1999.

Figure 11 shows a picture of the setup, sketched in Figure 1. A CW Argon-ion laser beam illuminates a water spray. The typical laser power is 100mW and the beam is expanded to a thickness of 15 mm. The most important remark is that there is no spatial filter like for standard rainbow thermometry (Figure 3). Instead, a transparent piece of paper is installed at the position of the focal plane of the receiving lens. This means that not one but all the droplets crossing the laser beam will contribute to the angular scattered light distribution, visible on the transparent screen. This results in the so-called global rainbow pattern that is recorded from the other side of the transparent screen by a digital video camera. The use of a transparent screen makes the alignment of the video camera to a minor issue. To calibrate the magnification factor of the camera, one records a millimeter paper image that is attached to the screen. Subsequently, the relationship between pixel number and the scattering angle is found via the focal length of the receiving lens.

A typical pattern is seen in Figure 2. It is recorded when the water spray works at stable ambient temperature. Horizontal profiles at different instants of time are plotted in Figure 12. Remark the stability of the Airy fringes. Although most probably numerous non-spherical droplets are crossing the laser beam, the position of the global rainbow pattern is not moving. This implies that the pattern is formed by constructive interference of the spherical droplets, because for each of them the geometrical rainbow angle is identical. Destructive interference occurs for the non-spherical droplets, because their interference fringes are randomly oriented and thus yield a uniform background. Consequently, there is no need for complex selection criteria to look for global rainbow patterns from spherical droplets. The selection is done automatically, just like the rainbow in the sky is a static phenomenon, even though numerous raindrops are not spherical.

Apart from the fact that the global pattern is formed by the spherical droplets only, it is interesting to notice that the visibility of Airy fringes is reduced with respect to rainbow signals like that of Figure 5. Moreover, the ripple structure is not visible at all. This makes the detection of the Airy maxima $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ easy, because only some noise needs to be filtered out. It has to be mentioned that the disappearance of the ripple structure has already been observed by Roth et al. (1991, 1992). These authors studied the average scattering diagram of a burning droplet stream with different droplet diameters. A size variation of less than
6μm made the ripple structure to vanish completely. However, the authors made no comments on the natural selection of spherical droplets by means of this averaged interference pattern.

Fig. 12 Profiles of experimental global rainbow pattern at four different instants of time. Remark the stability of the pattern.

For the patterns of Figure 12 the mean diameter is 49μm +/- 3μm. This is computed from the fringe spacing \( \theta_2 - \theta_1 \) as if the rainbow were formed by a single droplet. Phase-Doppler anemometry measures an arithmetic mean diameter of 60μm and a Sauter mean diameter of 130μm. From this, the question arises what mean diameter is deduced from the global rainbow pattern. This question is answered hereafter.

4. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS OF THE GLOBAL RAINBOW PATTERN

To invert the global rainbow pattern to the mean droplet size and temperature, simulations of the pattern need to be performed. First results will be shown below for a spray with a statistically perfect log-normal droplet-size distribution at uniform temperature. The density function \( f(d) \) reads

\[
\frac{1}{\sigma d \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{[\ln(d/\delta)]^2}{2\sigma^2}}
\]

The integral from zero to infinity over \( f(d) \) yields unity. \( \delta \) is a parameter representing the mean diameter of the spray and \( \sigma \) is the dispersion around it. Because there is a finite total number of droplets, \( N_{tot} \), the distribution has to be discretized. With \( d_i - \Delta d/2 < d < d_i + \Delta d/2 \) being the diameter-range containing one droplet with diameter \( d_i \), it follows

\[
f(d_i)\Delta d_i = 1/N_{tot}
\]

Now, the arithmetic mean diameter \( D_{10} \) results in the following simple expression:

\[
D_{10} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} d_i f(d_i) \Delta d_i = \frac{1}{N_{tot}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} d_i
\]

A similar simple expression is found for the commonly used Sauter mean diameter \( D_{32} \):

\[
D_{32} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} d_i^3}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{tot}} d_i^2}
\]
The influence of \( N_{\text{tot}} \), \( \sigma \) and \( \delta \) on the global rainbow pattern is investigated.

Figures 13 and 14 depict droplet-size distributions according to formula (1) for \( \sigma=0.2 \) and \( \sigma=0.5 \) respectively. Remark that for \( \sigma=0.5 \) the distribution is less symmetric and ranges from 35\( \mu \)m to 280\( \mu \)m.

To compute the scattering diagram of the global rainbow pattern, the scattered light intensity of each single droplet is summed, thereby neglecting optical interference between different droplets. The pattern for a single droplet is simulated using the normalized Airy function \( Ai(x,d) \), with \( x = \theta - \theta_c \) (van Beeck 1997). Using this function implies that only the Airy fringes and no ripple structure is taken into account. The average scattered-light intensity distribution \( Rnbw(x) \) becomes then

\[
Rnbw(x) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{tot}}} Ai(x,d_i)^2 \cdot d_i^{1/3}.
\]  

(5)

The Airy function accounts for the normalized angular variation of the scattering diagram, whereas the factor \( d_i^{1/3} \) describes the dependency of the scattered-light intensity on the droplet diameter within the primary rainbow region (Van de Hulst 1981). The distance droplet-receiver is the same for each droplet. Remind that the effect of droplet temperature and laser-light wavelength \( \lambda \) on the rainbow pattern lies in the geometrical rainbow angle \( \theta_c \) (thus \( x \)). \( \lambda \) is set at 514.5nm for all the simulations in this paper.

Figure 15 shows normalized global rainbow patterns for \( \sigma=0.2 \) and three different mean diameters \( \delta \) (Eq. 1). The total numbers of droplets \( N_{\text{tot}} \) is fixed at 100, which is sufficient to reach a converged solution. Note the perfect similarity between the curves.
Figure 16 depicts global rainbow simulations for constant \( \delta \), but with different dispersions \( \sigma \). Contrarily to Figure 15, the signals are not at all similar. The principal rainbow maximum tends to move towards the geometrical rainbow angle \( \theta_{rg} \) at \( x = 0 \), and the width of this maximum reduces with \( \sigma \). Moreover, the visibility of the fringes diminishes the higher the dispersion becomes. This influence on the visibility is more pronounced for higher \( x \). This feature has also been observed in the experimental signal of Figure 12. However, complete resemblance between simulations and experiments has not been achieved. This could be due to the fact that

- the distance droplet-lens is neglected in the simulations. Looking to Figure 1, one understands that this distance depends on the position of the droplet in the laser beam.
- The contribution of nearly spherical droplets is not taken into account. It is likely that these droplets fill the “valleys” between the Airy maxima, thus reducing the fringe visibility.

5. DATA INVERSION ALGORITHMS FOR GLOBAL RAINBOW THERMOMETRY

Based on the simulations of the rainbow pattern (Section 4) one can evaluate what kind of diameter is obtained from the global rainbow. Three different algorithms are studied. They vary in the information taken from the signal. This can be the Airy maxima \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \), the minimum \( q_{\text{min}} \) between both maxima, and the two inflection points \( q_{\text{inf}1} \) and \( q_{\text{inf}2} \) around \( q_1 \) (see Figure 15).

The first algorithm is the existing one employed for standard rainbow thermometry. The droplet diameter, \( D_{\text{Airy}} \), is derived from the distance between the first two Airy fringes, \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \), using the Airy theory for the rainbow (Van Beeck and Riethmüller 1995, 1997, Sankar et al. 1996),

\[
D_{\text{Airy}} = 1016.2 \lambda (\theta_2 - \theta_1)^{3/2},
\]

valid for a refractive index \( m=4/3 \). Once \( D_{\text{Airy}} \) is known, the temperature is computed from the geometrical rainbow angle, deduced from \( \theta_1 \) and \( D_{\text{Airy}} \) (van Beeck 1995, 1997),

\[
\theta_{rg} = \theta_1 - 46.18 (\lambda / D_{\text{Airy}})^{2/3},
\]

where the second term on the right hand side is valid for \( m=4/3 \). The relationship between \( \theta_{rg} \) and the temperature has been drawn for water in Figure 6.

![Fig. 17: The Airy diameter, computed from \( \theta_2-\theta_1 \), as a function of the spray dispersion \( \sigma \) for various amounts of droplets (Eq. 6).](image1)

![Fig. 18: The deviation of \( \theta_{rg} \), based on the first two Airy maxima, from its value at \( \sigma=0 \), as a function of \( \sigma \) and different mean diameters \( \delta \) (Eq. 7).](image2)

Figure 17 shows \( D_{\text{Airy}} \) as a function of \( \sigma \) for \( \delta=100 \mu m \) and different amounts of droplets. Until \( \sigma=0.3 \), \( D_{\text{Airy}} \) equals the Sauter mean diameter \( D_{s2} \). For higher \( \sigma \), the Airy diameter depends on the total number of droplets forming the global rainbow. This number is unknown in the experiments; hence Eq. (6) becomes useless for \( \sigma=0.3 \). Figure 18 depicts the results of Eq. (7) for different \( \delta \) with \( N_{\text{tot}}=200 \). The deviation of \( \theta_{rg} \) from its value at \( \sigma=0 \) reaches \(-0.4^\circ \) for a diameter of 20 \( \mu m \), which corresponds to an
uncertainty in the temperature measurement of about 16°C for water. Consequently, the algorithm employed for standard rainbow cannot be applied for a poly-dispersed spray to compute the average droplet size and temperature, especially when the mean droplet diameter is smaller than 100µm. The problem with this algorithm is related to the fact that the second Airy fringe disappears for large σ (see Figure 16).

Fig. 19: The Airy diameter, computed from $\theta_1 - \theta_{\min}$, as a function of the spray dispersion σ for various amounts of droplets (Eq. 8).

Fig. 20: The deviation of $\theta_{rg}$ based on $\theta_1$ and $\theta_{\min}$ from its value at $\sigma=0$, as a function of $\sigma$ for different mean diameters δ (Eq. 9).

Fig. 21: The Airy diameter, computed from $\theta_{inf2} - \theta_{inf1}$, as a function of the spray dispersion σ for various amounts of droplets (Eq. 10).

Fig. 22: The deviation of $\theta_{rg}$ based on $\theta_{inf2}$ and $\theta_{inf1}$ from its value at $\sigma=0$, as a function of $\sigma$ for different mean diameters δ (Eq. 11).

The second algorithm employs the maximum of the principal Airy fringe $\theta_1$ and the first minimum $\theta_{\min}$ (Figure 15). From these parameters one can deduce $D_{Airy}$ and $\theta_{rg}$ using the Airy theory for the rainbow (e.g. Van Beeck 1997):

$$D_{Airy} = 462.6\lambda(\theta_{\min} - \theta_1)^{-3/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$\theta_{rg} = \theta_1 - 46.18(\lambda/D_{Airy})^{2/3}. \hspace{1cm} (9)$$
Figures 19 and 20 depict $D_{Airy}$ and $\Delta \theta_{rg}$, respectively. There is no improvement in the temperature measurement (Figure 20) with respect to the algorithm based on $\theta_i$ and $\theta_2$. However, concerning the droplet-size measurement (Figure 19), $D_{Airy}$ follows $D_{32}$ up to $s=0.25$. For $0.25 < s < 0.4$, $D_{Airy}$ is closer to the arithmetic mean diameter $D_{32}$. For even larger $s$, $D_{Airy}$ weakly depends on $N_{tot}$. The same behavior is found for other $\delta$, because the rainbow signals are similar (Figure 15).

The most interesting results are obtained for the data inversion algorithm based on the deflection points around $\theta_1$, i.e. $\theta_{inf1}$ and $\theta_{inf2}$ (Figure 15). The relationship between these points and $D_{Airy}$ and $\theta_{rg}$ reads:

$$D_{Airy} = 531.6\lambda (\theta_{inf2} - \theta_{inf1})^{-3/2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\theta_{rg} = \theta_{inf1} - 13.91(\lambda / D_{Airy})^{2/3}. \quad (11)$$

Roth et al. (1996) already noted that $\theta_{inf1}$ lies very close to the geometrical rainbow angle $\theta_{rg}$, thus being a good indicator for the droplet temperature. Figure 21 shows that $D_{Airy}$, is independent of $N_{tot}$ up to $s=0.5$, but it exceeds the Sauter mean diameter $D_{32}$ considerably. This is related to the decrease of the width of the principal rainbow maximum when $s$ increases (Figure 16). But look to Figure 22! $\Delta \theta_{rg}$ is never larger than 0.025°, which means that this algorithm ensures and uncertainty in the temperature measurement of less than 1°C.

Finally, it is interesting to mention that the spray-dispersion $\sigma$ correlates with the ratio of $D_{Airy}$ from the inflection points and the one derived from $\theta_i$ and $\theta_{min}$, as is seen in Figure 23. The resulting curve can therefore be used to determine the width of the droplet size distribution. Unfortunately, for $\sigma > 0.4$, the relationship depends also on the total number of droplets $N_{tot}$.

![Fig. 23: The ratio between the droplet diameter derived from the inflection points and the one derived from the first Airy maximum and minimum is plotted as a function of the non-dimensional width of the droplet size distribution.](image)

6. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Above-mentioned data inversion schemes have been applied to the experimental signals of Figure 12. $D_{Airy}$, based on the first Airy maximum and minimum (Eq. 8), yields 48µm, but from the inflection points (Eq. 10) a mean droplet diameter of 56µm is obtained. The precision in these diameters is about 5%, hence the curve in Figure 23 yields a $\sigma$ between 0.2 and 0.3. For this spray-dispersion, the diameter 48µm should be close to $D_{32}$, but phase-Doppler anemometry (PDA) gives 130µm. This large discrepancy could be explained by the fact that the rainbow method is much more sensitive to droplet shape than PDA (Tropea 1999). PDA measures a mean Sauter diameter of the spherical and spheroidal droplets. On the other hand, the global rainbow pattern is formed by the most spherical droplets only, thus the smaller ones. This leads to a mean Sauter diameter for the rainbow technique that is inferior to that of PDA.
All simulations in the previous section are made at constant temperature. The whole picture could change when the global rainbow is formed by droplets with different temperatures. Nevertheless, it is interesting to see what the technique gives so far. Temperature measurements are presented in Figure 24. It shows the droplet temperature in the water spray of Figure 11 at a distance of 10cm from the spray nozzle. The initial nozzle temperature has been monitored by a thermocouple and has been varied between 19°C and 68°C. The ambient temperature is 21°C. The method of the inflection points is used (Eq. 11). Each rainbow temperature is obtained after averaging 50 video images, taken at different instants of time. This ensures convergence of the mean temperature measurement with respect to the number of droplets forming the rainbow. Although the precision seems to be less than 1°C, the tendency is correct. The spray droplets cool down.

![droplet temperature at 10cm from spray nozzle](image)

*Fig. 24: Rainbow temperature in a water spray at 10cm from the injection point as a function of the injection point temperature.*

7. CONCLUSIONS

Experimental and numerical results on global rainbow thermometry have been reported and compared to the standard rainbow technique. Low CW laser power is needed to create an average, so-called global, rainbow pattern. This pattern is formed by all the spherical droplets that cross the laser beam during the integration time of the video camera. The non-spherical particles are supposed to create a uniform background. Because the selection of spherical droplets happens naturally, the destructive influence of droplet shape on the accuracy of the temperature measurements is overcome. Moreover, the pattern is smooth and not spoiled by an additional ripple structure that would deteriorate the temperature derivation from the global rainbow pattern.

Simulations of the global rainbow pattern have been performed using the Airy function and a log-normal distribution for the spray droplet diameters. All droplets are supposed to be at the same temperature and at the same distance from the detector. The simulations show that there exists a similitude for global rainbow patterns of different mean droplet diameters. However, for increasing spray-dispersion, the similitude does not hold because signal visibility reduces significantly. Moreover, the larger the spray dispersion, the more droplets are needed to reach a converged solution. An important result is that the principal rainbow maximum, from which the mean temperature is derived, becomes narrower for increasing width of the droplet size distribution. This renders the detection of the rainbow displacement, thus temperature measurement, more accurate.
Three data-inversion algorithms have been investigated. The standard algorithm, based on the two important interference maxima, fails for a poly-dispersed spray because the lower maximum vanishes. The second algorithm derives the mean droplet size and temperature from the first maximum and first minimum. A mean diameter is obtained somewhere between the arithmetic and Sauter mean diameter, but the temperature measurement is not reliable. A correct temperature is derived from the third algorithm, employing the inflection points around the principal rainbow maximum. For this algorithm, the uncertainty is always less than 1°C. The mean droplet diameter obtained from this method is much larger than the Sauter diameter. That is why the ratio between this diameter and the one derived from the first maximum and minimum can give an idea about the spray dispersion.

Resemblance between experimental rainbow patterns and the simulations is not perfect as far as relative peak intensities are concerned. One reason could be the influence of nearly spherical droplets, which is neglected in the simulations. Nevertheless, rainbow temperatures have been measured with success in a heated water spray. The precision is a few degrees Celsius. The mean droplet diameter, measured by the rainbow method, appears to be considerably smaller than the one obtained by phase-Doppler anemometry. This is probably because global rainbow thermometry only sees the smaller, most spherical droplets.
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